

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/838,406	BENAYOUN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Pezzio	2662
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject t	oplication. If not included not mailed in due course. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>amendment filed 16 I</u>	<u>May 2005</u> .	
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 16-29 (renumbered 1-14 respe	<u>ctively)</u> .	
3. A The drawings filed on 19 April 2001 are accepted by the E	xaminer.	
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	be been received. be been received in Application No	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	MENT of this application.	
 A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 		
6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1	son's Patent Drawing Review(PTO s Amendment / Comment or in the 0	Office action of
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	he header according to 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 		
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Summary	
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date	<u></u>	
	(PR	JOHN PEZZLO IMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 09/838,406

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Jim Boice on 2 June 2005.

The claims have been amended as follows:

- 1. Claim 1 has been cancelled.
- 2. Claim 16 Line 8, inserted after "data packet" -- in a lower layer --.
- 3. Claim 16 Line 10, inserted after "data packet" in said lower layer –..
- 4. Claim 16 Line 14, inserted after "routing the data packet" -- in said lower layer --.
- 5. Claim 23 Line 8, inserted after "logic" in a lower layer –.
- 6. Claim 23 Line 9, inserted after "data packet" in said lower layer –.
- 7. Claim 23 Line 13, inserted after "routing the data packet" -- in said lower layer --.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16-29 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Applicants have claimed the following uniquely distinct features in the instant invention, which are not found in the prior art, either singularly or in combination:

- 1. Regarding claim 16 A method comprising: defining a routing table for each of a plurality of different transport layer protocols used in data packet transmission, wherein each of the different transport layer protocols is associated with a specific routing table, and wherein the different transport layer protocols are selected from a group that includes a Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) and a User Datagram Protocol (UDP), and wherein each routing table associates one of the different transport layer protocols with a plurality of port numbers, examining a header of a data packet in a lower layer to identify a transport layer protocol and a port number used by the data packet, routing the data packet in said lower layer according to a nodal pathway described by one of the routing tables according to the transport layer protocol and the port number used by the data packet, and in response to none of the routing tables containing a nodal pathway for the transport layer protocol and the port number used by the data packet, routing the data packet in said lower layer via a pre-defined default nodal pathway.
- 2. Regarding claim 23 A router comprising: logic for defining a routing table for each of a plurality of different transport layer protocols used in data packet transmission, wherein each of the different transport layer protocols is associated with a specific

routing table, and wherein the different transport layer protocols are selected from a group that includes a Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) and a User Datagram Protocol (UDP), and wherein each routing table associates one of the different transport layer protocols with a plurality of port numbers, logic in a lower layer for identifying a transport layer protocol and a port number used by a data packet, logic for routing the data packet in said lower layer according to a nodal pathway described by one of the routing tables according to the transport layer protocol and the port number used by the data packet, and logic for, in response to none of the routing tables containing a nodal pathway for the transport layer protocol and the port number used by the data packet,

The closest prior art, either singularly or in combination, fail to anticipate or render the above limitations obvious.

routing the data packet in said lower layer via a pre-defined default nodal pathway.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Claims 16-29 being allowable, **Prosecution On The Merits Is Closed** in this application.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

1. Bauman (US 6,046,979) discloses a method and apparatus for controlling the flow of variable length packets through a multiport switch.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Pezzlo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou, can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C.

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

Jefferson Building

2A15

Art Unit: 2662

500 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA, 22313.

John Pezzlo

2 June 2005

JOHN PEZZLO PRIMARY EXAMINER